

### **REMARKS / ARGUMENTS**

This letter is responsive to the Office Action dated November 5, 2003. A Response, which was accompanied by a request for a one-month extension of time, was filed on March 2, 2004. Thus the Applicant has already paid for an extension of time until March 5, 2004 to respond to the Office Action. The Examiner issued an Advisory Action and refused to enter the Response that was filed on March 2, 2004. Therefore, the applicant has filed this revised Response. This Response is filed with a request for an additional two month extension of time, i.e. an extension of time for the second and the third months. Therefore, it is respectfully submitted that this Response is to be timely filed.


In the Office Action, the Examiner allowed claims 12, 13 and 30-39. The Examiner rejected claims 1, 4-8, 10 and 11. The Examiner objected to claim 9.

By this Response, the applicant has amended claim 1 so as to incorporate therein the features of claim 4 -9. Claims 4-9 have accordingly been canceled without prejudice. Therefore, the applicant respectfully submits that claim 1, as amended hereby, is allowable. Claims 10 and 11 depended from claim 8. Therefore, the dependency of claims 10 and 11 were amended since claim 8 was cancelled.

In view of the forgoing amendments and comments, the applicant respectfully submits that the application is now in condition for allowance. Favourable consideration is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

Bereskin & Parr

By   
Philip C. Mendes da Costa  
Registration No. 33,106  
(416) 957-1695